

Who Is Liable When a Leased Truck Causes an Accident in Georgia?

How Federal Leasing Regulations and Georgia Law Determine Carrier Responsibility

Most people who survive a [serious truck accident](#) assume the driver's employer is responsible. That assumption often holds, but when a commercial truck is leased from one company and operated under another carrier's authority, the question of who is actually liable becomes significantly more complicated. Federal regulations, Georgia tort law, and the specific terms of the lease agreement all interact to determine which parties can be held accountable.

The trucking industry relies heavily on lease arrangements. Owner-operators lease their trucks and driving services to authorized motor carriers. Carriers lease equipment from separate fleet companies. Trailers are exchanged between different entities on a single trip. When a crash happens in the middle of this web of contractual relationships, injured victims sometimes face the uncomfortable reality that every party in the chain is pointing to someone else.

At the [Law Offices of Gary Martin Hays & Associates, P.C.](#), our Georgia truck accident lawyers have spent decades working through exactly these types of layered liability cases. We know where to look, what records to demand, and how to hold the right parties accountable.

What Federal Leasing Regulations Say About Carrier Responsibility

The federal government anticipated the liability-shifting problem and addressed it directly. Under [49 C.F.R. Part 376](#), when an authorized carrier leases a commercial truck from an owner-operator, the carrier assumes full responsibility for the operation of that vehicle during the lease period. The regulations are explicit: the carrier's name and operating authority must be displayed on the vehicle, and the carrier is treated as the operator of record for the duration of the lease.

[49 C.F.R. § 376.12](#) sets out specific lease requirements for authorized carriers, including the mandate that leases must be in writing, must identify the parties clearly, and must spell out the responsibilities of each party for vehicle maintenance, cargo liability, and compliance with safety regulations. These aren't just bureaucratic formalities. They determine which insurance policy responds first and which entity can be sued directly by an injured victim.

Why Owner-Operator Relationships Create Liability Complexity

Say a driver on U.S. 78 west of Snellville is [rear-ended by a commercial truck](#) whose driver is an owner-operator leasing his vehicle and his services to a large regional carrier. The carrier's logo is on the door. The carrier's operating authority number is on the side. But the truck is owned by the driver himself, insured under a separate policy, and maintained on a schedule the driver sets independently.

Who is responsible? The answer depends on how the lease was structured, whether the carrier exercised actual control over the driver's routes and schedules, and whether the crash

happened while the driver was operating under the carrier's authority or during a period when the lease had technically lapsed.

Decades of representing Georgia families in carrier liability claims, along with [over \\$1 billion in total recoveries](#), have shown us exactly where these cases hinge. Carriers frequently argue they had no control over the driver's moment-to-moment decisions, while the driver's own insurer argues the carrier's authority was in effect at the time of the crash. Sorting that out requires reading the actual lease, the driver's dispatch records, and the carrier's own internal communications.

How Georgia Law Handles Respondeat Superior in Trucking Cases

Georgia's respondeat superior doctrine holds an employer responsible for negligent acts committed by an employee while the employee is acting within the scope of employment. Under [O.C.G.A. § 51-2-2](#), a master is liable for the tortious acts of a servant committed in the prosecution of the master's business.

The legal question in a leased truck case is whether the carrier exercised enough control over the driver to create that master-servant relationship. Courts look at factors like whether the carrier assigned the driver's routes, whether the carrier required the driver to use specific safety protocols, and whether the carrier had the right to terminate the relationship if the driver violated its policies.

The key issues in determining carrier control in a leased truck case typically include:

- **Dispatch Authority And Route Assignment:** If the carrier controlled which loads the driver accepted and how they were routed, that's evidence of an employment-style relationship even when the driver owned his own truck.
- **Safety Policy And Compliance Requirements:** Carriers that required the driver to follow specific safety protocols, use particular equipment, or submit to drug testing were exercising control over how the work was performed, not just the outcome.
- **Compensation Structure And Exclusivity:** Was the driver exclusively leased to this carrier, or did he haul for multiple companies? Exclusivity and compensation by percentage of freight revenue both point toward carrier control.
- **Equipment Branding And Authority Number:** The presence of the carrier's name and operating authority number on the truck creates a presumption that the carrier controlled the vehicle's operation under federal rules.
- **The Lease Document Itself:** The written lease required by 49 C.F.R. § 376.12 either supports or undermines the carrier's claim that the driver was truly independent. Our attorneys know exactly what language to look for and what it means.

What Happens When the Lease Has Lapsed or Was Never Properly Executed?

Not all trucking companies follow the rules. Some carriers use informal arrangements that don't meet the written lease requirements under federal regulations. Some owner-operators are allowed to haul under a carrier's authority without any paperwork. And in some cases, a driver

who was technically "off lease" still had the carrier's placard on the door when the crash happened.

These situations create real complications, but they don't necessarily eliminate the carrier's liability. Our [Georgia truck accident attorneys](#) have successfully argued carrier liability even in cases where the formal documentation was incomplete, because the carrier's conduct and the driver's appearance at the time of the crash told a different story than the paperwork.

The [hidden liability issues in third-party trailer leasing](#) are a related problem that often emerges during this type of investigation. When the trailer being pulled was leased from yet a third company, the maintenance responsibility may shift again, and additional defendants may come into the picture.

Independent Contractor Defenses and How They Fail

Carriers frequently rely on the independent contractor designation to distance themselves from driver negligence. They argue that because the driver owned his truck and set his own hours, the driver was not their employee and they bear no responsibility for what the driver did on the road.

Georgia courts haven't accepted that defense uncritically. When the carrier's authority and branding are on the vehicle, and when the carrier was controlling the substance of the driver's work even if not his precise moment-to-moment movements, courts have found liability on the carrier's part. Our lawyers have handled this argument many times, and we know how to use the carrier's own operational records to undermine it.

The [independent contractor liability analysis](#) turns on the actual nature of the relationship, not its label. What [vicarious liability](#) means in a trucking context is that the right of control, whether exercised or just retained, is what matters.

Building the Evidence Record in a Leased Truck Crash

The evidence that resolves leased truck cases often lives in documents that are never preserved unless demanded quickly. The lease agreement itself, the carrier's dispatch records, the driver's log books, the truck's telematics data, and any internal communications about the driver's route that day can all disappear or be destroyed if a formal litigation hold isn't issued fast.

Our [Georgia truck accident lawyers](#) send preservation letters immediately after we're retained, demanding that every potentially relevant document be secured. We pull [FMCSA carrier safety records](#) that show the carrier's inspection history and out-of-service rate. We request [black box data](#) that captures the driver's speed, braking, and route at the time of the crash. And we coordinate with [freight broker records](#) when a broker placed the load that connected the driver and the carrier.

If you've been injured in a crash involving a leased commercial truck and you're not sure who's responsible, that's exactly the kind of case our attorneys are built for. [Evidence may already be disappearing.](#)

The Carrier Liability Gaps A Lease Agreement Can Hide

A carrier's insistence that an owner-operator was truly independent is often the opening move in a coverage dispute that ultimately comes at the victim's expense. Our attorneys know how to close those gaps.

If you or a family member has been hurt in a crash involving a leased commercial truck in Georgia, [contact us online](#) or call 1-800-898-HAYS today. The [Law Offices of Gary Martin Hays & Associates, P.C.](#) handles these cases from investigation through resolution, including cases involving [traumatic brain injuries](#) and other catastrophic outcomes.

Injured Georgians who hire our firm for carrier liability claims never pay out of pocket while the case moves forward. Our fee comes entirely from the settlement or verdict we secure on your behalf.